



Cascading / Composing Linear System



if L and M are both linear. so is the cascade $w = MLv$

when L is a linear system given by integration against a kernel

$$(Lv)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(x,y) v(y) dy$$

$$(MLv)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} M_x k(x,y) v(y) dy \quad (M \text{ operates on } k \text{ over } x)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(x,y) v(y) dy \approx \sum_i k(x, y_i) v(y_i) \Delta y_i$$

$$M \left[\sum_i k(x, y_i) v(y_i) \Delta y_i \right] = \sum_i M(k(x, y_i) v(y_i) \Delta y_i)$$

$$= \sum_i M(k(x, y_i)) v(y_i) \Delta y_i$$

$$\approx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} M_x k(x,y) v(y) dy$$

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (M_x k(x,y)) v(y) dy \right)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} L=k \\ M=d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{switch integral}} \begin{bmatrix} L=k \\ M=d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

suppose M is given by integrating against a kernel d

$$(MLv)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d(x,y) (Lv)(y) dy$$

$$(Mu)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} M(x,y) u(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d(x,y) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(y,u) v(u) du dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d(x,y) k(y,u) dy v(u) du$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (M_x k(x,y)) v(y) dy$$

M operates on the impulse x , seeing other variables as fixed

Any Linear system is integration against a kernel

$$v(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x-y) v(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(y-x) v(y) dy$$

if L is a linear system, Lv is applying L to the integral

$$(Lv)(x) = L \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(u-y) v(y) dy \right)_u(x)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{(L_u \delta(u-y))}_a \text{ kernel } v(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(x,y) v(y) dy$$

call $k(x,y)$ impulse-response

(how a system responds to feeding in an impulse)

linear system M applied to kernel over u evaluated on x

thinking of this

Schwartz

if L is a linear operator on distributions (with some mild assumption)

then there is a unique kernel k , with is another distribution

so that $LV = \langle k, v \rangle$ (in many circumstances the pairing $\langle k, v \rangle$ is given by integration and k is L applied to δ_y)

eg. impulse-response for Fourier Transform

$(\mathcal{F}f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-ixy} f(y) dy$ is a linear system, integration against a kernel

$$1. \langle \delta_x, \phi \rangle = \langle \delta_x, \mathcal{F}f \rangle = (\mathcal{F}f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(y) e^{-ixy} dy = \langle f, e^{-ixy} \rangle$$

$$(\mathcal{F}\delta_x)(y) = e^{-ixy}$$

we know: any linear system is integration against a unique kernel
 $LV = \langle k, v \rangle$ and k is $L\delta_y$

LV is defined as $(LV)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-ixy} v(y) dy$

then: $k(x, y) = e^{-ixy}$ has to be $L\delta_y = \mathcal{F}\delta_y$

thus $e^{-ixy} = \mathcal{F}\delta_y$

$$\begin{matrix} \downarrow x \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-ixy} & e^{-ixy} & \dots & e^{-ixy} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \uparrow y \\ \uparrow \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

once we have a linear system written in the form of integration against a kernel
 we have the impulse-response of that system

eg. discrete finite dimensional case

$LV = Av$ (L is a linear system)

$$(LV)(x) = \langle Ax, \cdot \rangle, v \rangle = \sum_j (A\delta_j)(x) \cdot v(j)$$

the impulse-response is A it self

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

want to write linear system

in form of (continuous) matrix multiplication

eg. switch

$LV = \pi V$

$$L\delta_y = \pi(x) \delta(x-y) = \pi(y) \delta(x-y)$$

the impulse-response is $\pi(y) \delta(x-y)$

$$\begin{matrix} \downarrow x \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \uparrow y \\ \uparrow \end{matrix}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(x, y) v(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \pi(y) \delta(x-y) v(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x-y) \pi(y) v(y) dy = \pi(x) v(x) = (LV)(x)$$

Convolution

$$Lv = h * v \quad (Lv)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x-y) v(y) dy$$

$$\text{conclude } (L\delta_y)(x) = h(x-y)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} T_a & T_a & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h * (T_a v) = T_a (h * v)$$

$$w = Lv = h * v \quad \text{delay in } v \text{ cause the identical delay in output}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v & \xrightarrow{T_a} & v(t-a) \\ \downarrow h * v & & \downarrow h * (T_a v) \\ w & \xrightarrow{T_a} & w(t-a) \end{array}$$

the system $Lv = h * v$ is time-invariant / shift-invariant

$$w = Lv$$

$$T_a w = L T_a v$$

if a system is given by convolution, then time-invariant

if a system is time-invariant, it must be given by convolution

$$(Lv)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (L\delta_y)(x) v(y) dy$$

$$\text{let } (L\delta)(x) = h(x)$$

$$\text{then } (L\delta_y) = L(T_y \delta) = T_y (L\delta) = T_y h(x) = h(x-y)$$

$$(Lv)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x-y) v(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (L\delta)(x-y) v(y) dy$$

$$\text{switch } h(x-y) = T(y) \delta(x-y) \text{ is not time-invariant}$$